

# DELINEATION OF THE ROMPIN RIVER BASIN AND NETWORK USING VARIOUS GIS APPLICATIONS

CHONG TAT MING

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



## **STUDENT'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at University Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

---

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : CHONG TAT MING

ID Number : AA15184

Date : 31 MAY 2019

DELINEATION OF THE ROMPIN RIVER BASIN AND NETWORK  
USING VARIOUS GIS APPLICATIONS

CHONG TAT MING

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements  
for the award of the  
B. Eng (Hons.) Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2019

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First, I like to express my gratefulness to the God Almighty for the good health and well-being throughout the entire study.

I would like to address my greatest gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Jacqueline Isabella Anak GISen for the greatest effort in guiding, giving advices, supports and encouraged me thorough the entire journey of my studies for the final year project.

Next, I wish to thank Dr. Nurul Nadrah Aqilah binti Tukimat for providing me with necessary knowledge in thesis writing. Throughout this final year project, I wish to place my sincere appreciations to all the lecturers for giving encouragement, support, and attention directly and indirectly in Universiti Malaysia Pahang.

I would also like to take this opportunity to thank my family and friends who encouraged me with their best wishes and support.

Last but not least, I would like to thank all who involved directly and indirectly in ensuring the smoothness of this study. Thank you very much.

## ABSTRAK

Lembangan Sungai Rompin terutama di rantau hilir sangat sensitif terhadap banjir monsun atau banjir kilat. Kawasan-kawasan banjir sangat bergantung kepada ketinggian puncak di lembangan di mana tanah rendah lebih cenderung dipengaruhi. Oleh itu, ini sangat penting untuk mengekstrak ciri-ciri fizikal lembangan untuk disepadukan dalam analisis banjir. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menggambarkan rangkaian sungai dan tadahan untuk Lembangan Sungai Rompin menggunakan aplikasi GIS yang berbeza, dan menilai prestasi aplikasi GIS. Digital Elevation Model (DEM) dengan resolusi 30 m telah digunakan dalam kedua-dua alat aplikasi GIS (ArcGIS dan QGIS) untuk penambatan rangkaian sungai dan lembangan. Proses penggalan termasuk penyejukan rangkaian sungai, proses penyisihan menGISi, proses pengumpulan aliran, proses pengekstrakan rangkaian saliran dan proses pengekstrakan aliran sungai. Rangkaian sungai simulasi dari ArcGIS dan QGIS telah dinilai dan dibandingkan dengan rangkaian sungai digital dari peta Google untuk persembahan mereka. Ciri fizikal dari dua alat aplikasi GIS telah dibandingkan dan diteliti dalam kajian ini. Bagi kawasan lembah sungai, ArcGIS mempunyai 4185 km<sup>2</sup> kawasan dan QGIS mempunyai 4234 km<sup>2</sup> kawasan. Perimeter lembangan sungai untuk ArcGIS adalah 651 km, sementara QGIS mempunyai 718 km perimeter. Ketinggian minimum untuk ArcGIS dan QGIS adalah -16 dan 2 masing-masing, manakala untuk ketinggian maksimum untuk ArcGIS dan QGIS adalah 988 dan 804. Untuk ciri-ciri sungai, ArcGIS mempunyai 771 stream, manakala QGIS mempunyai 93 stream. Peratusan kesilapan purata bagi tiga ketinggian yang berbeza telah dinilai dan dibahagi kepada ketinggian yang tinggi, ketinggian pertengahan dan ketinggian yang rendah. Untuk ArcGIS, nilai yang diperolehi untuk ketinggian tinggi, pertengahan dan rendah adalah 188m, 240m dan 470m, manakala untuk QGIS, nilai yang diperolehi untuk ketinggian tinggi, pertengahan dan rendah adalah 217m, 446m dan 485m. Membandingkan keputusan yang diperolehi daripada ArcGIS dan QGIS dengan rangkaian sungai digital, didapati bahawa aplikasi ArcGIS dapat menggambarkan rangkaian sungai dengan lebih tepat pada ketinggian yang tinggi dan pertengahan, sementara QGIS hanya boleh melakukan lebih baik pada ketinggian yang tinggi. Walaupun QGIS boleh dimuat turun secara percuma dari laman web, namun ia hanya boleh berfungsi dengan baik pada ketinggian yang tinggi. Oleh kerana masalah banjir biasanya berlaku di ketinggian yang lebih rendah, QGIS mungkin tidak mencukupi untuk digunakan untuk menggambarkan rangkaian sungai pada tahap yang lebih rendah dalam kajian ini.

## ABSTRACT

Rompin River Basin especially at the downstream region is highly prone to monsoon flood or flash flood. Flooding areas are closely depending on the topographical elevation in the basin where lower ground is more likely to be affected. Thus, it is essential to extract the physical characteristics of the basin to be integrated in flood analysis. The objectives of this study are to delineate river networks and catchment for the Rompin River Basin using different GIS applications, and evaluate the performance of the GIS applications. Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of 30 m resolution was applied in both GIS application tools (ArcGIS and QGIS) for the delineation of the river network and basin. The delineation processes include river network conditioning, fill sink process, flow accumulation process, drainage network extraction process and watershed basin extraction process. The simulated river network from ArcGIS and QGIS were evaluated and compared with the digitized river network from Google map for their performances. The physical characteristics from two GIS application tools were compared and tabulated in this research. For the area of the watershed basin, ArcGIS has an area of 4185 km<sup>2</sup> and QGIS has an area of 4234 km<sup>2</sup>. The perimeter of the watershed basin for ArcGIS is 651 km, while QGIS has a perimeter of 718 km. The minimum elevation for ArcGIS and QGIS are -16 and 2 respectively, while for the maximum elevation for ArcGIS and QGIS are 988 and 804. For the river attributes, ArcGIS has around 771 attributes, while QGIS has around 93 attributes. The average error percentage for three different elevation has been evaluated which are high elevation, intermediate elevation and low elevation. For ArcGIS, the value obtained for high ,intermediate and low elevation are 188m, 240m and 470m, while for the QGIS, the value obtained for high ,intermediate and low elevation are 217m, 446m and 485m. Comparing the result obtained from ArcGIS and QGIS to the digitized river network, it is found that ArcGIS application can delineate the river network more accurately at the intermediate and high elevation, while QGIS can only perform better at high elevation. Although QGIS can be download for free on website, however it can only perform well on high elevation. Since the flood problem is normally occur in lower elevation, QGIS may not be sufficient to be used to delineate the river network at lower level in this study.

## **TABLE OF CONTENT**

**DECLARATION**

**TITLE PAGE**

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** **ii**

**ABSTRAK** **iii**

**ABSTRACT** **iv**

**TABLE OF CONTENT** **v**

**LIST OF TABLES** **viii**

**LIST OF FIGURES** **ix**

**LIST OF SYMBOLS** **x**

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS** **xi**

**CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION** **1**

1.1 Background 1

1.2 Problem statement 3

1.3 Objectives 3

1.4 Scope and Limitation of Study 4

1.5 Significance of Study 4

**CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW** **5**

2.1 Watershed 5

2.1.1 Physical Characteristics of the Watershed 6

2.2 Watershed Delineation 9

2.2.1 Manual Watershed Delineation 10

|   |  |           |
|---|--|-----------|
| 2.2.2                                   | Watershed Delineation with GIS Applications  | 11        |
| 2.3                                     | Digital Elevation Model (DEM)                | 13        |
| 2.3.1                                   | Application of DEM in Hydrology              | 16        |
| 2.4                                     | Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) Data | 17        |
| 2.5                                     | Geographical Information System              | 19        |
| 2.6                                     | Previous Case Study                          | 21        |
| <b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY</b>            |  | <b>23</b> |
| 3.1                                     | Introduction                                 | 23        |
| 3.2                                     | Flow Chart of Methodology                    | 24        |
| 3.3                                     | Preliminary Study                            | 25        |
| 3.4                                     | Study Area                                   | 25        |
| 3.5                                     | Data Collection                              | 26        |
| 3.6                                     | Delineation of Basin & River Network         | 27        |
| 3.7                                     | Validation                                   | 28        |
| 3.8                                     | Error Analysis                               | 30        |
| <b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b> |  | <b>31</b> |
| 4.1                                     | Watershed delineation                        | 31        |
| 4.2                                     | Delineation of River Network                 | 33        |
| 4.3                                     | Threshold Simulation (ArcGIS)                | 35        |
| 4.4                                     | Strahler Order Simulation (QGIS)             | 37        |
| 4.5                                     | River Network Validation and Error Analysis  | 40        |
| 4.6                                     | Summary                                      | 45        |
| <b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION</b>             |  | <b>46</b> |



|   |                |           |
|---|----------------|-----------|
| 5.1   | Introduction   | 46        |
| 5.2   | Conclusion     | 46        |
| 5.3   | Recommendation | 47        |
| <b>REFERENCES</b>   |                | <b>49</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX A DATA FOR THE PERCENTAGE OF ERROR OF THE ARCGIS<br/>AND QGIS</b> |                | <b>52</b> |

## **LIST OF TABLES**

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 2.1 Area Covered By Each Watershed                                      | 7  |
| Table 4.1 Data Characteristics Of Watershed Basin                             | 33 |
| Table 4.2 Summary Of The Simulated Networks And Watershed                     | 34 |
| Table 4.3 Summary Of The Simulated Networks And Watershed For Arcgis          | 37 |
| Table 4.4 Summary Of The Simulated Networks And Watershed For Qgis            | 40 |
| Table 4.5 Average Value Of The Percentage Of Error For High Elevation         | 42 |
| Table 4.6 Average Value Of The Percentage Of Error For Intermediate Elevation | 43 |
| Table 4.7 Average Value Of The Percentage Of Error For Low Elevation          | 45 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.1 Watershed Diagram  | 6  |
| Figure 2.2 Manual Watershed Delineation   | 11 |
| Figure 2.3 Different Type Of Dems   | 15 |
| Figure 2.4 Comparison Between Dsm And Dtm   | 16 |
| Figure 2.5 Comparison Between 5m Dtm, 30m Dem And 90m Dem                             | 18 |
| Figure 2.6 Raster And Vector Data Models  | 20 |
| Figure 3.1 Flow Chart Of Methodology  | 24 |
| Figure 3.2 The Rompin River Basin   | 26 |
| Figure 3.3 Watershed Basin With Interval Lines And Numberings                         | 29 |
| Figure 3.4 Interval Lines And Dimensions That Drawn On Digitized And Simulated Stream | 29 |
| Figure 4.1 Digitized Watershed Basin  | 32 |
| Figure 4.2 Watershed Basin Of Qgis  | 32 |
| Figure 4.3 Watershed Basin Of Arcgis  | 33 |
| Figure 4.4 Digitized And Simulated River Network (Arcgis)                             | 34 |
| Figure 4.5 Digitized And Simulated River Network (Qgis)                               | 35 |
| Figure 4.6 Simulated Stream Network With Threshold Value Of 5000                      | 36 |
| Figure 4.7 Simulated Stream Network With Threshold Value Of 10000                     | 36 |
| Figure 4.8 Simulated Stream Network With Threshold Value Of 20000                     | 37 |
| Figure 4.9 Simulated Stream Network With 6 Strahler Order Value                       | 38 |
| Figure 4.10 Simulated Stream Network With 7 Strahler Order Value                      | 39 |
| Figure 4.11 Simulated Stream Network With 8 Strahler Order Value                      | 39 |
| Figure 4.12 Watershed Area (Arcgis)   | 41 |
| Figure 4.13 Watershed Area (Qgis)   | 41 |
| Figure 4.14 Watershed Area (Arcgis)   | 42 |
| Figure 4.15 Watershed Area (Qgis)   | 43 |
| Figure 4.16 Watershed Area (Arcgis)   | 44 |
| Figure 4.17 Watershed Area (Qgis)   | 44 |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

|       |                 |
|-------|-----------------|
| $\mu$ | Mean value      |
| $n$   | Population size |

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

|       |  |
|-------|--|
| DID   | Department Of Irrigation And Drainage                          |
| GIS   | Geographic Information System                                  |
| ASTER | Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission And Reflection Radiometer |
| DEM   | Digital Elevation Model  |
| DTM   | Digital Terrain Model  |
| DSM   | Digital Surface Model  |
| GRASS | Geographic Resources Analysis Support System                   |
| HMS   | Hydrologic Modelling System                                    |
| IFSAR | Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar                       |
| LIDAR | Light Detection And Ranging                                    |
| NASA  | National Aeronautics And Space Administration                  |
| RRB   | Rompin River Basin   |
| SRTM  | Shuttle Radar Topography Mission                               |
| USGS  | United States Geological Survey                                |

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

Natural disasters such as floods are happening more frequently due to climate change (Ghani, et al., 2012). Climate variability of a region is often difficult to predict and hence causing it impossible to completely mitigate flood problems. In Malaysia, two types of floods that hit this country in a yearly base are flash flood and monsoon flood. Flash flood is caused by high intensity rainfall in a short period and generally occurs in high imperviousness urban area. Meanwhile, monsoon flood is caused by the seasonal rainfall that takes place during October to March.

Floods in Malaysia occurred in many areas regardless of the topographical characteristic. Rompin District is one of the area that is frequently affected by flood particularly during the monsoon season. The most recent monsoon flood as reported by (DID, 2018) occurred in January 2018. Total area affected by the monsoon flood was about 12.5 km<sup>2</sup> with flood depth between 1 m to 4.5 m. Five river levels were observed to be at the warning level, namely the Sungai Kurnia at Kampung Kurnia (1.96m), Sungai Puteri at Kuala Rompin (2.03m), Sungai Rompin at Kampung Kerpai (2.18m), Sungai Aur at Kampung Aur (14.60m) and Sungai Keratong at Bukit Serok (21.82m). The flood was caused by heavy rainfall, river overflow and clogging of the drainage system. Besides monsoon flood, flash flood also occurred in the Rompin District in the early January of 2018 covering a total area of 1 km<sup>2</sup>. The flood depth recorded was 0.4 m. The main causes of the flash flood event are heavy rainfall and clogging of the drainage system.

Since flood problems imposed serious damaged to the society, it is essential to establish a mapping system indicating the prone areas for future urban planner.

Mapping is very useful to identify and demarcate potential flood area based on physical and topographic characteristic. Traditionally, topographical map was used to study the characteristics of the area of interest (Kumar & Dhiman, 2014). The watershed area was drawn in reference to the contour map and the highest points of the contour were linked to generate the model boundary (Visharolia, et al., 2017). This process is time consuming and a good quality of topographical map is needed. With the fast development in the technology nowadays, researcher and water manager have migrated from adopting the manual method to the digitalized technology in analyzing and visualizing topographical information. The digitalized topographic dataset of the Earth's surfaces is represented by Digital Elevation Model (DEM) . Information such as topographic properties, geomorphometric parameters, morphometric factors or terrain data can be extracted from the DEM dataset (Donia, 2009). In this research, DEM resolution of 30 m was used to delineate the watershed basin and river network (Arbind & Madam, 2017).

DEM dataset was applied in Geographic Information System (GIS) for analysis. Geographic Information System (GIS) is the computer-based tool which served as a framework to collect, manages, analyses, and visualizes data related to the position of the Earth's surfaces (Vinayak, et al., 2019). GIS tool is simple to utilize and has an effective geo-processing capacities which make it less demanding to visualize, oversee, analyze, alter and compose printable maps. Furthermore, GIS tool processes spatial information effectively and supports both vector and raster layers (Chandra Bose, et al., 2012). There are several open-source GIS software including QGIS, SAGA GIS, gvSIG and others available for watershed delineation. The GIS applications selected in this study are QGIS and ArcGIS in order to compare the accuracy and the efficiency of the software. One of the most important applications of GIS is the ability to delineate river basin & river network (Kaviya, et al., 2017).

Delineation of the river basin is one of the important part in solving flood problems and developing better water resources management (Mudler , 2011). Floods traditionally are predicted using hydrological watershed modeling, in order to achieve the design discharge and calculate water surface elevations and terrain analysis to produce a flood map (Jung & Merwade, 2015). In this study, the Rompin River Basin was delineated from DEM 30 m resolution using two different GIS software. The

simulated river network results obtained were validated by comparing the error percentage in reference to topographical map & google satellite images. The results obtained for the delineated basin & river network can be utilized as the input topographic and hydrologic datasets for the hydrological study in water resource management work.

## **1.2 Problem statement**

The Rompin River Basin is a highly potential flooding area due to monsoon or flash flood. In water resources management study, geographical information is important to identify the elevation of the land surfaces. Since Rompin River Basin has an area around 4285 km<sup>2</sup>, it is difficult to delineate the river network by using manual method. This is because manual delineation processes can be very time consuming particularly in collecting and managing river network and hydrological information (Kumar & Dhiman, 2014). Thus, new technology is required to fasten the delineation process. With the rapid development of computerized technology, there are several software available capable in speeding up the delineation process. One of the applications that can be implement in this study is GIS application.

Different GIS software provides different levels of accuracy of the data. Generally, flood problems often occur at low lying area. In order to obtain sufficient information, GIS software plays an important role in data collection. Thus, there is a need to validate the DEM resolutions that generated by the different GIS software so that the performance limit of the GIS software can be defined. This helps to improve the quality of the extracted catchment physical characteristics by selecting the suitable application to delineate the watershed basin and river network.

## **1.3 Objectives**

This study aims to:

- To delineate river networks and catchment for the Rompin River Basin using GIS applications.
- To evaluate the performance of GIS applications.



## REFERENCES

- Abhishek, P. S. & Regulwar, D. G., 2015. Watershed Modeling using Quantum Geographic Information System(QGIS). *International Journal of Pure and Applied Technology*.
- Al-Muqdadi, S. W. & Merkel, B. J., 2011. Automated watershed evaluation of flat terrain. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 3, pp. 892-903.
- Arbind, K. V. & Madam, K. J., 2017. Extraction of Watershed Characteristics using GIS and Digital Elevation Model. *International Journal of Engineering Science Invention*.
- Azeredo Freitas, d. H. R. & Costa , d. C., 2016. Drainage networks and watersheds delineation derived from TIN-based digital elevation models. *Computers & Geosciences*, pp. 21-37.
- Bharata, H. S., Darshan , K. H., Pavan, S. & Shanubhog, S. S., 2015. Delineation of Watershed and Estimation of Discharge of River Shimsha using GIS.
- Chandra Bose, A. S., Sridhar , P., Giridhar, M. V. & Viswanadh, G. K., 2012. Watershed Delineation and Stream Network Analysis using GIS. *International Journal of Watershed Engineering*, Volume 1.
- CivicPlus Content Management System, 2019. *Lake Country*. [Online]  
Available at: <http://www.lakecountyil.gov/2375/Watersheds>
- Daffi, R. E. & Ahuchaogu, I. I., 2017. Delineation of River Watershed and Stream Network Using ILWIS 3.7.1 Academic. *Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology*, p. 2.
- Dhanashri, 2015. *Geographic Information Syatems*. [Online]  
Available at: <https://gis.stackexchange.com/questions/7077/what-are-raster-and-vector-data-in-gis-and-when-to-use>
- DID, 2018. *Annual Report of Flood*, s.l.: Hydrology Unit of Department of Irrigation and Drainage.
- Donia, N., 2009. Application of Remotely Sensed Imagery to Watershed Analysis; A case Study of Lake Karoun Catchment, Egypt. *Thirteen International Water Technology Conference, IWTC, Hurghada*.
- Edwards, P. J., Williards, K. W. & Schoonover, J. E., 2015. Fundamentals of Watershed Hydrology. *Journal of Contemporary Water Research & Education*, pp. 1-2.

Feringa, W. & Thomas, P., 2019. *Introduction for Digital Elevation Models*. [Online]  
Available at: <http://www.charim.net/datamanagement/32>

Ghani, A. A., Chang, C. K., Leow, C. S. & Zakaria, N. A., 2012. Sungai Pahang digital flood mapping: 2007 flood. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, Volume 10, pp. 139-148.

Guru, B. G. & Meher, J., 2016. Delineation of Mahanadi River Basin by Using GIS and ArcSWAT. *International Journal of Engineering Science Invention*, 5(8), pp. 44-48.

Jung, Y. & Merwade, V., 2015. Estimation of uncertainty propagation in flood inundation mapping using 1-D hydraulic model. *Hydrol. Process.*, 29, pp. 624-640.

Kaviya, B., Kumar, Om., Verma, C., Ram, R. K., Singh, R. P., 2017. WATERSHED DELINEATION USING GIS IN SELAIYUR AREA. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Volume 116.

Kopp, S., 2013. *Custom Watersheds at the Click of a Button: Watershed Delineation in ArcGIS Online*. *ArcGIS Resources ESRI*. [Online]  
Available at: <https://www.esri.com/arcgis-blog/products/arcgis-living-atlas/analytics/custom-watersheds-at-the-click-of-a-button-watershed-delineation-in-arcgis-online/?rmedium=redirect&rsource=blogs.esri.com/esri/arcgis/2013/08/13/custom-watersheds-at-the-click-of-a-b>

Kumar , A. & Dhiman, R., 2014. Manual and automated delineation of watershed boundaries– a case study from Kangra region of western Himalaya, India. *International Journal of Environmental Science*, Volume 5.

Merwade, V., 2012. Stream network and watershed delineation using spatial analyst hydrology tools. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, pp. 1-14.

Mudler , N. A., 2011. Catchment Area Delineation Using GIS technique for Bekhma Dam. *Spatial Information Processing*, Volume 2.

Mukherjee, S., Mukhopadhyay, A., Brardwaj, A., Mondal, A., Kundu, S., Hazra, S., 2012. Digital Elevation Model Generation and Retrieval of Terrain Attributes using CARTOSAT-1 Stereo Data. *International Journal of Science and Technology*, pp. 265-266.

Murai & Shunji, 1999. *GIS Work Book Fundamental Course*.

Palaka, R. & Sankar, G. J., 2016. Study of watershed characteristics using Google Elevation Service. *Geospatial World*.

Satari, S. Z., Liang, C.Z., Misni, F., Moslim, N.H., Muhammad, N., Zakaria, R., Yaziz, S.R., 2015. *Applied Statistics Module*. Kuantan: University Malaysia Pahang.

Sinha, L., Rathore, R. & Jain, U., 2015. Watershed Delineation of Narmada Basin- Indira Sagar Dam to Maheshwar Dam of Madhya Pradesh. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*.

TEAM AGRI INFO, 2018. *Classification of Watershed*. [Online]  
Available at: <https://agriinfo.in/classification-of-watershed-75/>

Tesfa, T. K., Tarboton, D.G., Daniel, D.W., Kimnerly, K.A.T., Baker, M.E., Wallace, W.R., 2011. Extraction of hydrological proximity measures from DEMs using parallel processing. *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 26 (12), pp. 1696-1709.

Vimal, S., Kumar, D. N. & Jaya, I., 2012. Extraction of Drainage Pattern from ASTER and SRTM Data for a River Basin using GIS Tools. *International Conference on Environment, Energy and Biotechnology IPCBEE vol.33*.

Vinayak, P., Gautam, K., Haree, R., Shridhar, M. Aijit, L., 2019. Watershed Delineation of Kumbhoj Nala Basin by using QGIS. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)*, 6(4).

Visharolia, U. S., Shrimali, N. J. & Prakesh, I., 2017. Watershed Delineation of Purna River using Geographical Information System (GIS). *International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research, Volume 4*, p. 1.

Viswanathan, K. E., Manikandan, M. & Bharanitharan, S., 2015. Watershed Delineation for Varahanadhi basin using Opensource Geospatial Technology. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, 2(6).